Seniority of applicants under HUDCO pattern scheme, 1979

3306. SHRI SOHAN LAL DHUSIYA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 1677 given in the Rajya Sabha on 11th March, 1988 and State:

(a) what ar ethe criteria for determination of seniority/priority among the applicants of flats under the HUDCO pattern scheme, 1979;

(b) what steps are taken by Government to ensure timely delivery of allotment letters to the applicants; and

(c) whether any applicant has to far missed his turn due to non-receipt/late receipt of allotment letter from the DDA; if so what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) There was a large number of registrants under New Pattern Scheme in different categories, as such an administrative decision was taken by DDA to prepare one time seniority/priority list through Computer so that flats could be allotted to the registrants on their turn without calling applications, since it was time consuming process. For preparing the seniority/priority list *pseudo randum* numbers generated with the help of computer were used. Separate priority/seniority list were generated for SC/ST and General category for each of the three flat categories *viz.* MIG LIG and Janta.

(b) After holding the draw for allotment of flats to the registrants as per their seniority in priority list, allotment letters are prepared by Computer immediately and are sent to the allottees.

(c) It has been experienced that a few registrants miss their turn due to non-receipt of demand letters. This occurs because the allottee changes his address or is not available and even does not respond to the advertisement given from time to time for informing change of address. Under these circumstances, it becomes difficult for the DDA to trace their where-abouts and deliver the allotment letters. All the cases including cases of late receipt of allotment letters are considered on merits.

Sewage facilities for Group Housing Societies in Pitampura

3307. SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether sewer lines are being laid by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi in Pitampura in Delhi;

(b) whether the housing complexes on the land allotted by the DDA to various group housing societies in the area i.e. JNU, Triveni, Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha Co-operative Group Housing Societies are likely to be provided with sewer facilities thereby; and

(c) by when sewer facilities are likely to be given to each of the said Group Housing Societies?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT(SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) to (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

Payment of Fees of Snam/Topsoe associates

3308. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that repeat orders placed with the same company involves only payment of royalties;

(b) whether it is also a fact that Snam/Topsoe associates firm are paid design fees, process know-how fees and management supervision fees for each of the fertilizer plants being constructed by them in the country instead of royalty for repeat orders; and

(c) if so, what are the reasons therefor stating the amount of payments as

compared to the amount if only royalty would have been paid to them?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF FERTILIZERS IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI R. PRABHU): (a) to (c) No, Sir, in addtion to royalty, Snamprogetti and Haldor Topsoe are also being paid fees for process know-how, design, engineering etc., and other technical services. Payment of royalty gives the right to use the process; for other technical services, design and engineerng etc., payments are made addtionally. Since these payments are different in nature, any comparison is not in order.

Italian engineers engaged in fertilizer plants

3309. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Public Sector engineering firms in the country like PDIL and FEDO have practically no role in the construction of the fertiliser plants involving Snamprogetti and their engineers are leaving; and

(b) if so, what is the number of the Italian engineers and other personnel presently engaged in each of the contracted fertilizer plants stating the reasons for the non-utilisation of the public sector firms expertise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF FERTILIZERS IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI R. PRABHU): (a) No, Sir. PDIL and FEDO have been|are involved as sub-contractors|co-contractors in the construction of fertilizer plants.

(b) Does not arise.

Gang rape of brick women labourers at Sonepat

3310. SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA JAYAWANTRAO PATIL: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to an article which appeared in Jansatta of 7th March, 1988 under the caption "Bandhua Mazdoor Nyaya Ki Aas Men", regarding pitiable condition of bricks kiln labourers;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether it is a fact that the said labourers were compelled to work as bonded labourers and their wives were gangraped by their employers recently in Sonepat in Haryana; and

(d) what action has been taken by Government against the employers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. It is alleged that some labourers from District Sikar, Rajasthan were brought to village Kharkhoda, District Sonepat, Haryana for working a brick kiln and they were exploited. It has been alleged, *inter-alia*, that they were not paid proper wages, were not free to leave the brick kiln and that some women were raped.

(c) and (d) Haryana Government has been requested to ascertain the facts and take appropriate action.

Snam/Topsoe guarantee for fertilizer plants

3311. SHRI SHARAD YADAV:
SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA:

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Snam| Topsoe's guarantees for the contracted fertiliser plants are valid subject to the conditions that their equipment chosen by them is used for the projects; and

(b) if so, what are the reasons therefor stating how much cut Snam|Topsoe associates get from the equipment manufacture as a result thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF FERTILIZERS IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI R. PRABHU): (a) and (b) The selection of critical equipments for fertilizer plants is done in accordance with